

eclassification (of 6 council owned s	ites			
Proposal Title :	Reclassification of	of 6 council o	wned sites		
Proposal Summa			o amend the Ku-ring-gai Pl s in Lindfield, Wahroonga a	-	
PP Number :	PP_2013_KURIN_	003_00	Dop File No :	13/17995	
roposal Details					
Date Planning Proposal Receive	15-Oct-2013 ed :		LGA covered :	Ku-Ring-Gai	
Region :	Sydney Region We	st	RPA :	Ku-ring-gai	Council
State Electorate	DAVIDSON KU-RING-GAI		Section of the Act :	55 - Plannin	g Proposal
LEP Type :	Reclassification				
ocation Details	5				
Street :	19 Hughes Place				
Suburb :	East Lindfield	City :	Sydney	Postcode :	2070
Land Parcel :	Lot 23 DP28233				
Street :	9 Eric Street				
Suburb :	Wahroonga	City :	Sydney	Postcode :	2076
Land Parcel :	Lot 1 DP 662194				
Street :	57 Merrivale Road				
Suburb :	Pymble	City :	Sydney	Postcode :	2073
Land Parcel :	Lot 2 DP 252197				
Street :	6A Peace Avenue				
Suburb :	Pymble	City :	Sydney	Postcode :	2073
Land Parcel :	Lot 2 DP 202873				
Street :	77A Bradfield Road				
Suburb :	West Lindfield	City :	Sydney	Postcode :	2070
Land Parcel :	Lot 53 DP 261073				
Street :	Edith Street				
Suburb :	Pymble	City :	Sydney	Postcode :	2073
Land Parcel :	Unformed road reserve	(public road)	between 74 and 76 Banno	ckburn Road, Pyr	nble.

Reclassification of 6 council owned sites

DoP Planning Officer Contact Details

Contact Name :	Shane Nugent
Contact Number :	0298601173
Contact Email :	shane.nugent@planning.nsw.gov.au

RPA Contact Details

Contact Name :	Craige Wise
Contact Number :	0294240855
Contact Email :	cwyse@kmc.nsw.gov.au

DoP Project Manager Contact Details

Contact Name :	Terry Doran
Contact Number :	0298601149
Contact Email :	terry.doran@planning.nsw.gov.au

Land Release Data

Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	N/A
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Metro North subregion	Consistent with Strategy :	Yes
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment :			
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	Νο		
If Yes, comment :			
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :	The planning proposal seeks to reclassify 6 council owned sites from operational land to community land. All interests are to be discharged.		
External Supporting Notes :			

Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a)

Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes

Comment :

Council states that the objective is to facilitate the coordinated and orderly use of land to enable Council to respond to new opportunities to implement planning strategies contained in the relevant planning instruments.

Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment :

The planning proposal is to reclassify the 6 parcels of land from community land to operational land. This will enable Council to sell, exchange or otherwise dispose of or deal with the sites.

It is proposed to discharge any trusts, estates, interests, dedications, conditions or restrictions and covenants affecting the land or any part of the land. However the proposal does not include details of these interests or an explanation for their discharge, as required by Practice Note PN 09-003. It is recommended that a condition of the Gateway determination be that to planning proposal be amended to include this information.

Details of the sites to be reclassified are currently in the Introduction of the planning proposal. In accordance with the guidelines, this information should be moved to Part 2 -Explanation of Provisions (or a cross reference provided), so that Part 2 provides complete information on the provisions of the proposal to assist in both public exhibition and drafting of the legal instrument.

Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? No

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :		1.1 Business and Industrial Zones
* May need the Director Ge	neral's agreement	 2.3 Heritage Conservation 3.1 Residential Zones 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils 4.3 Flood Prone Land 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes 6.3 Site Specific Provisions 7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036
Is the Director General's	agreement required?	No
c) Consistent with Standard	l Instrument (LEPs) Or	der 2006 : Yes
d) Which SEPPs have the I	RPA identified?	 SEPP No 19—Bushland in Urban Areas SEPP No 32—Urban Consolidation (Redevelopment of Urban Land) SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land SEPP No 65—Design Quality of Residential Flat Development SEPP (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004 SEPP (Housing for Seniors or People with a Disability) 2004 SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007 SREP (Sydney Harbour Catchment) 2005 SEPP (Affordable Rental Housing) 2009
e) List any other matters that need to be considered :	The planning propo Policies or Section	sal is not inconsistent with any State Environmental Planning 117 Directions.
Have inconsistencies with i	tems a), b) and d) bein	g adequately justified? N/A
If No. explain :		

Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? Yes

Comment :

The planning proposal includes detailed maps of the six sites.

Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment :

Council has indicated that extensive community consultation will be undertaken in accordance with the publication" A Guide to Preparing Local Environmental Plans" published by the Department of Planning and Infrastructure. Consultation will take place after Gateway Determination is issued, and will include a public hearing process as the planning proposal involves a reclassification of community land to operational land.

Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

Due Date : March 2014

Comments in	Ku-ring-gai Principal LEP is expected to be notified in early 2014. The planning proposal
relation to Principal	notes that if the Draft LEP is made, the proposal would amend the new LEP rather than the
LEP :	Ku-ring-gai Planning Scheme Ordinance.

Assessment Criteria

Need for planning proposal :	The planning proposal is required to reclassify the six sites from community land to operational land. This will enable Council to sell, exchange or otherwise dispose of or deal with the sites.
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	The planning proposal states that it is consistent with the Ku-ring-gai Community Strategic Plan 2030.
	Reclassification of the sites forms part of a plan to fund the acquisition of a building at Gordon for a new administration building.
	The proposal is not inconsistent with the provisions of the Draft Metropolitan Strategy for Sydney to 2031.
Environmental social economic impacts :	The planning proposal states that some of the sites have riparian or biodiversity significance. The reclassification will not affect or remove the existing controls, and therefore will not result in any additional environmental impacts. Any issues which arise would be properly addressed during the assessment of any development applications.
	The planning proposal states that it will have a positive economic impact in facilitating the orderly and economic provision of Council facilities.
	While the site at 19 Hughes Place, East Lindfield is zoned for business use, it contains lawn and gardens and effectively forms part of the adjoining park. There may be some

		he loss of open space, although the I proportion of the park.	site of 110 square metres	
	Reclassification of the through the loss of pas	site at 9 Eric Street, Wahroonga ma sive open space.	y also have some social imp	oact
	Planning Scheme Ordin	Road, Pymble is listed as a local he nance and the Draft Ku-ring-gai Loca not remove or change the heritage p	I Environmental Plan. The	i
Assessment Proce	988			
Proposal type :	Minor	Community Consultation Period :	28 Days	
Timeframe to make LEP :	9 months	Delegation :	DDG	
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) :	Transport for NSW NSW Rural Fire Service Transport for NSW	Services - Housing NSW		
Is Public Hearing by th	ne PAC required?	No		
(2)(a) Should the matt	er proceed ?	Yes		
If no, provide reasons	:			
Resubmission - s56(2))(b) : No			
If Yes, reasons :				
Identify any additional	studies, if required. :			
If Other, provide reasc	ons :			
Identify any internal co	onsultations, if required :			
No internal consultat	ion required			
Is the provision and fu	nding of state infrastructure r	relevant to this plan? No		
If Yes, reasons :				
cuments				
Document File Name		DocumentType Na	ime ls Pub	lic
Reclassification - Con Reclassification Plan reclassification - Map Reclassification Coun	ning Proposal.pdf os.pdf	Proposal Coverin Proposal Map Proposal	g Letter No No No No	

Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

Reclassification of 6 council owned sites

S.117 directions:	 1.1 Business and Industrial Zones 2.3 Heritage Conservation 3.1 Residential Zones 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils 4.3 Flood Prone Land 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements
	6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes 6.3 Site Specific Provisions 7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036
Additional Information :	DELEGATION OF GATEWAY DETERMINATION
	The proposal is to reclassify 6 parcels of land. No changes to zoning or other development controls are proposed. The proposal is of minor significance, and it is recommended that the determination function of the Gateway be exercised under delegation by the Regional Director, Sydney West Region.
	DELEGATION OF PLAN MAKING FUNCTION TO COUNCIL
	As the proposal includes discharge of interests in relation to some parcels, the approval of the Governor is required and therefore it is not possible to delegate the plan making function.
	RECOMMENDATION
	It is recommended that the planning proposal proceed, subject to the following conditions:
	1. The planning proposal should be emended prior to public exhibition to:
	(a) Move the information on the details of the sites proposed for reclassification from sections 1.1 and 1.3 of the report to Part 2 Explanation of Provisions in the planning proposal, or provide a cross reference in that section. This is to ensure that Part 2 provides completed information on the provisions of the proposal to assist in both public exhibition and drafting of the legal instrument in accordance with the guidelines.
	(b) Include information on all interests to be discharged for each site, together with a justification or explanation for the discharge of these interests.
	2. Community consultation is required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act for a period of 28 days.
	3. Consultation with the following government agencies: - Department of Education and Communities - Family and Community Services - Housing NSW - Transport for NSW
	- NSW Rural Fire Service - Transport for NSW - Roads and Maritime Services - Sydney Water - Ausgrid
	4. The timeframe for completion of the local environmental plan is to be nine months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination.
Supporting Reasons :	The planning proposal is to reclassify 6 small parcels of land from community land to operational land. No changes to existing zones or development controls are proposed.
	The proposal will result few, if any, environmental, social or economic impacts.

	6 council owned sites			
Signature:	S Mugent			
Printed Name:	SHANE NUGENT	Date:	<u>_11/11/13</u>	